

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/074,208	02/12/2002	Charles E. Taylor	SHPR-01041USO SRM	4381
23910 7	7590 10/07/2004		EXAMINER	
FLIESLER MEYER, LLP			TRAN. THAO T	
FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER SUITE 400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111			1711	
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/074,208	TAYLOR, CHARLES E.				
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thao T. Tran	1711 () ()				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 15 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a)						
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: 						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the						
issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:	Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-52</u> .	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-52</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
		,				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amended claims contain the newly added limitation "hollow electrode" that would potentially rais new issue and require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments are based on the proposed amendment that has not been entered.

THAOT.TRAN
PATENT EXAMINER